

ALTERNATE TWO-WAY RADAR EQUATION

In this section the same radar equation factors are grouped differently to create different constants as is used by some authors.

TWO-WAY RADAR EQUATION (MONOSTATIC)

$$\text{Peak power at the radar receiver input is: } P_r = \frac{P_t G_t G_r \lambda^2 \sigma}{(4\pi)^3 R^4} = \frac{P_t G_t G_r \sigma c^2}{(4\pi)^3 f^2 R^4} * \quad (\text{Note: } \lambda = \frac{c}{f} \text{ and } \sigma \text{ is RCS}) \quad [1]$$

* Keep λ or c , σ , and R in the same units. On reducing the above equation to log form we have:

$$\text{or: } 10\log P_r = 10\log P_t + 10\log G_t + 10\log G_r - \alpha_2 \quad (\text{in dB})$$

$$\text{Where: } \alpha_2 = 20\log f_1 R^2 - 10\log \sigma + K_3, \text{ and } K_3 = -10\log c^2/(4\pi)^3$$

Note: Losses due to antenna polarization and atmospheric absorption (Sections 3-2 and 5-1) are not included in these equations.

K_3 Values:
(dB)

Range Units	f_1 in MHz σ in m^2	f_1 in GHz σ in m^2	f_1 in MHz σ in ft^2	f_1 in GHz σ in ft^2
NM	114.15	174.15	124.47	184.47
km	103.44	163.44	113.76	173.76
m	-16.56	43.44	-6.24	53.76
yd	-18.1	41.9	-7.78	52.22
ft	-37.2	22.8	-26.88	33.12

In the last section, we had the basic radar equation given as equation [6] and it is repeated as equation [1] in the table above.

In section 4-4, in order to maintain the concept and use of the one-way space loss coefficient, α_1 , we didn't cancel like terms which was done to form equation [6] there. Rather, we regrouped the factors of equation [5]. This resulted in two minus α_1 terms and we defined the remaining term as G_σ , which accounted for RCS (see equation [8] & [9]).

Some authors take a different approach, and instead develop an entirely new single factor α_2 , which is used instead of the combination of α_1 and G_σ .

If equation [1] is reduced to log form, (and noting that $f = c/\lambda$) it becomes:

$$10\log P_r = 10\log P_t + 10\log G_t + 10\log G_r - 20\log (fR^2) + 10\log \sigma + 10\log (c^2/(4\pi)^3) \quad [2]$$

We now call the last three terms on the right minus α_2 and use it as a single term instead of the two terms α_1 and G_σ . The concept of dealing with one variable factor may be easier although we still need to know the range, frequency and radar cross section to evaluate α_2 . Additionally, we can no longer use a nomograph like we did in computing α_1 and visualize a two-way space loss consisting of two times the one-way space loss, since there are now 3 variables vs two.

$$\text{Equation [2] reduces to: } 10\log P_r = 10\log P_t + 10\log G_t + 10\log G_r - \alpha_2 \quad (\text{in dB}) \quad [3]$$

Where $\alpha_2 = 20\log (f_1 R^2) - 10\log \sigma + K_3$ and where f_1 is the MHz or GHz value of frequency

and $K_3 = -10\log (c^2/(4\pi)^3) + 20\log (\text{conversion for Hz to MHz or GHz}) + 40\log (\text{range unit conversions if not in meters}) - 20\log (\text{RCS conversions for meters to feet})$

The values of K_3 are given in the table above.

Comparing equation [3] to equation [10] in Section 4-4, it can be seen that $\alpha_2 = 2\alpha_1 - G_\sigma$.